IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

FRIDAY, THE TWENTY FOURTH DAY OF SEPTEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE DR JUSTICE G.YETHIRAJULU

WRIT PETITION NO: 13959 of 2004

Between:

M. V. Satyanarayana Chary S/o Butchi Babu
R/o Charla Village & Mandal, Khammam district

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Chairman & Managing Director, A.P. Genco., Vidyut

Soudha, Hyderabad
2 The Executive Director (HR), A.P. Genco, Vidyut Soudha,

Hyderabad.
3 The Chief Engineer O&M KTPS, Paloncha, Khammam District
4 The Chief Engineer, O&M KTPS, V- Stage, Paloncha, Khammam

District

.....RESPONDENT(S)

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a writ or order or direction more fully in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondents in not considering the case of the petitioner while including the names of the persons with lesser marks in the merit list of selected candidates and consequently direct the respondents to include the petitioner's name in the merit list drawn by the respondents.

Counsel for the Petitioner:MR.K.CHAITANYA, Advocate

Counsel for the Respondents.: SMT.K.SESHARAJYAM (SC FOR AP GENCO)

The Court made the following :

O R D E R:

The petitioner claims that he appeared for selection to the post of Plant Attendant vide notification dated 02-02-1999. He along with other candidates approached this Court, challenging the memo affixed by the respondents in the notice board on 06-10-1999 on the ground that the respondents resorted to add more marks to some of the candidates more than the maximum marks prescribed in the test. This Court directed that the marks for interview should not be more than 10% of the total marks prescribed for the selection. The petitioner is contending that he secured 550 out of 600, but he was not selected nor informed about the result. He therefore, approached this Court seeking to declare that the action of the respondents in not considering his case while including the names of the persons with lesser marks in the merit list as illegal and arbitrary and to direct the respondents to include the name of the petitioner in the merit list. The petitioner is not having the information as to how many marks each candidate has got and on what guidelines the respondents prepared the merit list. Therefore, it would be appropriate, if the petitioner makes a representation to the respondents expressing his grievance regarding his request for inclusion of his name in the list of merit candidates, and on receipt of such representation, the respondents are directed to pass appropriate orders according to the rules within eight weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order and communicate the same to the petitioner.

Accordingly, the Writ Petition is disposed of. No order as to costs.

24th September 2004.

mrb

ASSSISTANT REGISTRAR

To

1 The Chairman & Managing Director, A.P. Genco., Vidyut

Soudha, Hyderabad
2 The Executive Director (HR), A.P. Genco Vidyut Soudha,

Hyderabad
3 The Chief Engineer O&M KTPS, Paloncha, Khammam District
4 The Chief Engineer, O&M KTPS, V- Stage, Paloncha, Khammam

District

5. Two CD copies